1 2	KRISTINA L. HILLMAN, Nevada Bar No. 7752 LAW OFFICES OF KRISTINA L. HILLMAN, Affiliated with Weinberg, Roger & Rosenfeld,			
3	A Professional Corporation 729 Evans Avenue			
4	Reno, Nevada 89512 Telephone: 775.770.4832 Faccimile: 775.324.5444			
5	Facsimile: 775.324.5444 Attorneys for Defendants Laborers International Union			
6	of North America Local No. 872, Tommy White			
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
10				
11	GENE COLLINS, an individual doing business) No. 11-cv-00524			
12	as SOUTHERN NEVADA FLAGGERS &) BARRICADES; SIX STAR CLEANING &)			
13	CARPET SERVICE, INC., a Nevada (CORPORTION OF NORTH AMERICA) OUNION OF NORTH AMERICA			
14	individual doing business as STEP BY STEP) LOCAL NO. 872 and TOMMY CLEANING SERVICE, FLOPPY MOP, INC.,) WHITE'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT			
15	a Nevada corporation, BLUE CHIP (AND COUNTER CLAIM) ENTERPRISES, INC., a Nevada corporation, (1)			
16	DOES I through X; ROES I through X,			
ا 17	Plaintiffs,)			
18	v. {			
19	LABORERS INTERNATIONAL UNION OF) NORTH AMERICA LOCAL NO. 872;)			
20	LABORERS INTERNATIONAL UNION OF) NORTH AMERICA LOCAL NO. 702;)			
21	TOMMY WHITE, an individual; DOES I) through X and ROE ENTITIES 1 through X)			
22	Defendants.			
23				
24	Defendants Laborers International Union of North America Local No. 872 ("Local 872")			
25	and Tommy White ("White," collectively "Defendants"), by and through their counsel of record,			
26	Kristina L. Hillman, Law Offices of Kristina L. Hillman, on behalf of themselves and no other			
27	defendant, as and for their answer to the complaint filed by the plaintiffs in the above captioned			

28
WEINBERG, ROGER &
ROSENFELD
A Professional Corporation
1001 Marina Village Parkway
Suite 200
Alameda, CA 94501-1091
510.337.1001

matter, hereby admit, deny, and respond as follows:

28 WEINBERG, ROGER & ROSENFELD A Professional Corporation 1001 Manina Village Parkway Suite 200

PARTIES

- 1. Defendants submit the allegations of paragraph 1 do not allege any acts or omissions on the part of either Local 872 or White and therefore responses to such allegations are required under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. To the extent responses are required, Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 2. Defendants submit the allegations of paragraph 2 do not allege any acts or omissions on the part of either Local 872 or White and therefore responses to such allegations are required under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. To the extent responses are required, Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 3. Defendants submit the allegations of paragraph 3 do not allege any acts or omissions on the part of either Local 872 or White and therefore responses to such allegations are required under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. To the extent responses are required, Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 4. Defendants submit the allegations of paragraph 4 do not allege any acts or omissions on the part of either Local 872 or White and therefore responses to such allegations are required under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. To the extent responses are required, Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 5. Defendants submit the allegations of paragraph 5 do not allege any acts or omissions on the part of either Local 872 or White and therefore responses to such allegations are required under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. To the extent responses are required, Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

6. As to Local 872 alone, Defendants admit the allegations of paragraph 6.

2

7. Defendants admit the allegations of paragraph 7.

3

NATURE OF JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4 5

10

11

13

14

16

18

19

20

23

25

27

12

15

17

21

22

24

26

EINBERG, ROGER & ROSENFELD

8.	Defendants submit the allegations of paragraph 8 do not allege any acts or				
omissions on the part of either Local 872 or White and therefore responses to such allegations are					
required under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. To the extent responses are required,					
Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the					
allegations and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein, and specifically					
denies plaintiffs were subjected to discrimination in any form, or discrimination on the basis of					
race.					

- 9. Defendants submit the allegations of paragraph 9 do not allege any acts or omissions on the part of either Local 872 or White and therefore responses to such allegations are required under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. To the extent responses are required, Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 10. Defendants submit the allegations of paragraph 10 do not allege any acts or omissions on the part of either Local 872 or White and therefore responses to such allegations are required under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. To the extent responses are required, Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 11. Defendants submit the allegations of paragraph 11 do not allege any acts or omissions on the part of either Local 872 or White and therefore responses to such allegations are required under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. To the extent responses are required, Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein and specifically deny that NRS 140.65 provides the United States District Court with personal jurisdiction over the Defendants.
- 12. Defendants submit the allegations of paragraph 12 do not allege any acts or omissions on the part of either Local 872 or White and therefore responses to such allegations are required under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. To the extent responses are required,

Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein. 1 2 **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS** 13. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 13. 3 14. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 14. 4 15. Defendants admit that Local 872 solicited non-Union labor contractors to join Local 5 872. Defendants deny each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 15. 6 16. 7 Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 16. 17. 8 Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 17. 18. 9 Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 18. 19. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 19. 10 20. 11 Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 20. 21. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 21. 12 22. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 22. 13 Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 23. 14 23. 24. Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth 15 of the allegations and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 24. 16 FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION 17 (Relief for Racial Discrimination in Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1981) 18 19 25. Defendants submit the allegations of paragraph 25 do not allege any acts or omissions on the part of either Local 872 or White and therefore responses to such allegations are 20 required under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. To the extent responses are required, 21 Defendants incorporate their responses to paragraphs 1 through 24, inclusive, as though fully set 22 forth. 23 26. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 26. 24 27. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 27. 25 28. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 28. 26 29. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 29, and 27

28
WEINBERG, ROGER &
ROSENFELD
A Professional Corporation
1001 Marina Village Parkway
Suite 200
Alarmore, CA 94501, 1001

1	77.	Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 77.	
2	78.	Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth	
3	of the allegat	tions and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 78.	
4		TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Declaratory & Injunctive Bellief - Defendation)	
5		(Declaratory & Injunctive Relief—Defamation)	
6	79.	Defendants submit the allegations of paragraph 79 do not allege any acts or	
7	omissions on	the part of either Local 872 or White and therefore responses to such allegations are	
8	required under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. To the extent responses are required,		
9	Defendants incorporate their responses to paragraphs 1 through 78, inclusive, as though fully set		
10	forth.		
11	80.	Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 80, and	
12	specifically deny that NRS 30.101, et seq. confers any jurisdiction or power on this Court.		
13	81.	Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 81.	
14	82.	Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 82.	
15	83.	Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 83.	
16	84.	Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 84 and subparts i	
17	through iii.		
18	85.	Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth	
19	of the allegat	ions and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 85.	
20		ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Defamation/Defamation Per Se)	
21		(Detaination Detaination 1 of 50)	
22	86.	Defendants submit the allegations of paragraph 86 do not allege any acts or	
23	omissions on the part of either Local 872 or White and therefore responses to such allegations are		
24	required under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. To the extent responses are required,		
25	Defendants is	ncorporate their responses to paragraphs 1 through 85, inclusive, as though fully set	
26	forth.		
27	87.	Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 87.	
28	:		

1	88.	Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 88.	
2	89.	Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 89.	
3	90.	Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 90.	
4	91.	Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 91.	
5	92.	Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 92.	
6	93.	Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 93.	
7	94.	Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth	
8	of the allegat	ions and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 94.	
9	18	TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
10		(Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress)	
11	95.	Defendants submit the allegations of paragraph 95 do not allege any acts or	
12	omissions on	the part of either Local 872 or White and therefore responses to such allegations are	
13	required under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. To the extent responses are required,		
14	Defendants incorporate their responses to paragraphs 1 through 94, inclusive, as though fully set		
15	forth.		
16	96.	Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 96.	
17	97.	Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 97.	
18	98.	Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 98.	
19	99.	Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 99.	
20	100.	Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 100.	
21	101.	Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth	
22	of the allegat	ions and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 101.	
23		THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress)	
24		(14egagent infliction of Emotional Distress)	
25	102.	Defendants submit the allegations of paragraph 102 do not allege any acts or	
26	omissions on	the part of either Local 872 or White and therefore responses to such allegations are	
27	required unde	er the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. To the extent responses are required,	

28
WEINBERG, ROGER &
ROSENFELD
A Professional Corporation
1001 Marina Village Parkway
Suite 200
Alameda, CA 94501-1091
510.337.1001

1	Defendants incorporate their responses to paragraphs 1 through 101, inclusive, as though fully set		
2	forth.		
3	103.	Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 103.	
4	104.	Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 104.	
5	105.	Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth	
6	of the allegations and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 105.		
7		FOURTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Harassment)	
8		(Harassment)	
9	106.	Defendants submit the allegations of paragraph 106 do not allege any acts or	
10	omissions on	the part of either Local 872 or White and therefore responses to such allegations are	
11	required unde	er the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. To the extent responses are required,	
12	Defendants incorporate their responses to paragraphs 1 through 105, inclusive, as though fully set		
13	forth.		
14	107.	Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 107.	
15	108.	Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 108.	
16	109.	Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 109.	
17	110.	Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth	
18	of the allegati	ions and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 105.	
19		AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES	
20	1.	Plaintiffs' complaint and each cause of action asserted therein fails to state a claim	
21	against these answering Defendants upon which relief may be granted.		
22	2.	Plaintiffs' complaint is barred by the failure to exhaust all administrative remedies.	
23	3.	Plaintiffs' complaint is barred by Plaintiffs' failure to exhaust all contractual	
24	remedies.		
25	4.	Plaintiffs' complaint is barred by the doctrine of unclean hands.	
26	5.	Plaintiffs' complaint is barred by the doctrine of estoppel.	
27	6.	Plaintiffs' complaint is barred by the doctrine of waiver.	
28			

- 7. Plaintiffs' complaint is by the doctrine of laches.
- 8. Plaintiffs' complaint is barred by the applicable statutes of limitations.
- 9. Plaintiffs' complaint is barred as res judicata and/or by collateral estoppel.
- 10. Plaintiffs' complaint is preempted under the Labor Management Relations Act, 29U.S.C. § 185.
- 11. Plaintiffs' complaint is preempted under the Employees Retirement Income Security Act, Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, 29 U.S.C. § 1101, et seq., as amended by the Multiemployer Pension Plan Amendments Act of 1980, 29 U.S.C. § 1381, et seq.
 - 12. Plaintiffs, and each of them, have failed to mitigate their damages, if any there are.
- 13. Plaintiffs' complaint was filed for unlawful purposes in violation of the National Labor Relations Act.
- 14. Any actions undertaken by these answering Defendants were undertaken in good faith, without malice, with due regard for the rights of Plaintiffs, and each of them, and were privileged, justified, and reasonable.
- 15. The damages sustained by Plaintiffs, if any there are, were the result of the actions of persons or entities other than these answering Defendants, including Plaintiffs and others, and the acts, omissions, and liabilities of such persons are the sole and proximate cause of the alleged damages set forth in the complaint.
- 16. The complaint and all causes of action asserted therein are barred, or recovery is reduced because of Plaintiffs' own fault and neglect in connection with the matters complained of.
- 17. Any statements made concerning Plaintiffs, or any of them, by these answering Defendants, were made in good faith for legitimate business purposes, were privileged, and were true.
- 18. The acts and conduct allegedly undertaken by these answering Defendants were authorized, permitted, and consistent with the terms of the Labor-Management Agreement controlling the relationship between the parties.

sional Corporation

27

///

9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

ÆINBERG, ROGER & ROSENFELD

- 19. This Court lacks jurisdiction to interpret the Labor-Management Agreement and the duties and obligations of the parties arising thereunder.
- 20. This Court lacks jurisdiction as the matters complained of involve obligations arising under and subject to the National Labor Relations Act.
- 21. As a Union officer, Defendant Tommy White is immune from personal liability for acts performed as a representative of the Union.
- 22. Plaintiff's claim for punitive damages is unconstitutional under the due process clause of the United States Constitution.

COUNTER CLAIM

- 1. At all times complained of, Plaintiffs, and each of them, were signatory to a Memorandum Agreement with Local 872. Under the terms of the Memorandum Agreement, the Parties agreed to be bound by all the terms and conditions of the Master Agreement. True and correct copies of the Memorandum Agreements are attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.
- 2. The Master Agreement defines and governs the relationship between the parties to this action, and requires that "all disputes or grievances arising out of the interpretation or application of [the Master] Agreement shall be submitted for determination and be resolved by the procedures set forth in this Article. The employer shall have the right to file a grievance under this Article, and further agrees to waive its right to file any lawsuit alleging breach of contract." A true and correct copy of the Master Agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit B and incorporated herein by reference.
- 3. The Master Agreement provides a three step grievance handling procedure. At the first step the grievant meets with the Union and attempts to resolve the issues. If the grievance is not resolved at the first step, either party may request the matter be submitted to a Board of Adjustment, consisting of two members appointed by the Employer, and two members appointed by the Union. A simple majority vote of the Board of Adjustment is final and binding on all parties. If the Board of Adjustment does not reach a majority decision within three days after

1	referral of an	issue, either or both parties may refer the dispute or grievance to arbitration.	
2	4.	All of the claims asserted in the Complaint involve the interpretation or application	
3	of the terms of the Master Agreement.		
4	5.	None of the Plaintiffs filed a grievance concerning the issues raised in the	
5	Complaint.		
6	6.	As of the date of this counter claim, none of the Plaintiffs have invoked the	
7	contractual remedies available under the Master Agreement.		
8	7.	Plaintiffs' complaint is barred for failure to exhaust contractual remedies.	
9		PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
10	WHE	REFORE, Defendants Laborers International Union of North America Local No. 872	
11	and Tommy White pray judgment as follows:		
12	1.	This action be dismissed for failure to exhaust contractual remedies, or	
13	alternatively, the Court order this matter to arbitration;		
14	2.	Plaintiffs take nothing by way of their complaint, and that the entire action be	
15	dismissed with prejudice;		
16	3.	Defendants be awarded the attorney fees and costs incurred in this matter; and	
17	4.	For such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.	
18			
19	Dated: April	21, 2011	
20		LAW OFFICES OF KRISTINA L. HILLMAN	
21		Dru /a/Vaistina I IIIIman	
22		By: /s/Kristina L. Hillman KRISTINA L. HILLMAN	
23		Attorneys for Defendants Laborers International Union of North America Local No. 872 and	
24	127792/617155	Tommy White	
25			
26			
27			
28 Er &			

WEINBERG, ROGER & ROSENFELD
A Professional Corporation 1001 Marina Village Parkway Suite 200
Alameda, CA 94501-1091
510.337.1001